

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,572	08/05/2003	Christian Klein	2923-553	5439
6449 75	590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			NGUYEN, BAO THUY L	
SUITE 800	1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/633,572	KLEIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	une 2006.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-18 and 23-32 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-18 and 23-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	ut(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date:		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment date 19 June 2006 has been received. Claims 31-32 have been added. Claims 1-3, 5-18 and 23-32 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-18 and 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Detection reagents or a capture system are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claims 1, 3 and 23 recites a detection zone where analyte from the gas sample is detected. However, it is unclear how such detection is accomplished. There does not appear to be a capture reagent in the detection zone capable of capturing either the complexed or uncomplexed analyte enabling detection in the detection zone. Because the matrix is recited as being capable of transporting the analyte and any binding partner from one location to another (i.e. from the analyte application area to the detection zone), it is reasonable to assume that unless the complexed or uncomplexed analyte is captured by a specific binding system or some other means, it would continue to move pass the detection zone, in which case, no detection is possible.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,572

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Page 3

4. Claims 1-3, 5-18 and 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-3, 5-18 and 23-31 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear how the analyte can be detected in the detection zone since no reagents are recited that is capable of capturing the analyte thereby enabling detection.

Claims 1, 3 and 22 are also vague because it is unclear where in the device the detection zone is located. Is it part of the matrix?

Claim 32 is vague because it is unclear what the capture system is and how it is able to bind to the analyte or complex of analyte and first binding partner. A system implies a combination of different components and none of these components are recited in the claim, therefore the meets and bounds of the claims cannot be ascertained.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the withdrawal of the rejection

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-3, 5-18 and 23-32 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1641

The claims define over the prior art of record because neither Scholtissek nor Abuknesha make obvious a method for detecting analyte in a gas phase using a device comprising a gas and liquid permeable carrier matrix where the analyte is applied to the matrix and caused to move from the application point to a detection zone enabling detection. The method of Scholtissek requires that the analyte be eluted or displaced from the capture matrix before detection can occur, and the method and device of Abuknesha requires that the analyte be detected in the sample application area. Neither one of these references make obvious a method in which the analyte is caused to move from a sample application area, i.e. the gas and liquid-permeable carrier matrix, to a detection zone and detecting the analyte in the detection zone.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0824. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Wednesday from 8:00 a.m. -4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1641 3/35/06